Appl. No. 10/612,688
Amndt. dated February 1, 2006
Reply to Office Action of November 17, 2005

REMARKS / ARGUMENTS

In a Final Office Action of November 17, 2005 the Examiner indicated claim 1 - 3 are allowed. However, the Examiner has withdrawn claims 4 and 5 as being directed to a non-elected invention. Claims 4 and 5 were added by Applicant in the Amendment filed on October 14, 2005 in an effort to utilize different terminology for the invention disclosed in the application.

In order to expedite issuance of patent protection on the allowed claims 1-3, Applicant has canceled claims 4-5 and is considering whether-or-not to file a continuing application to pursue protection for those claims.

Applicant urges that this Amendment therefore places the application in condition for allowance and respectfully requests that the Examiner enter this Amendment and issue a Notice of Allowance.

Respectfully submitted, Malcolm J. Chisholm, Jr.

Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 33,665

Telephone: (413) 243-0551

Date: $\frac{\partial}{1/2006}$